HELMHOLTZ MUNICH
AIH Institute of AI for Health

Topological Machine Learning: The (W)Hole Truth

Lecture 1

Bastian Rieck (@Pseudomanifold)

Preliminaries

Do you have feedback or any questions? Write to bastian.rieck@helmholtz-muenchen.de or reach out to @Pseudomanifold on Twitter. You can find the slides and additional information with links to more literature here:

`https://heidelberg.topology.rocks`

Philosophy

Computational topology is all about finding *expressive* and *computable* invariants to distinguish between different spaces.

What is computational topology?



What is computational topology?



What is computational topology?



What is computational topology?



Which qualities of the sphere make it *different* from the torus?

Betti numbers

The d^{th} Betti number counts the number of d-dimensional holes. It can be used to distinguish between spaces.

β_0	Connected components
β_1	Tunnels
β_2	Voids

Space	β_0	β_1	β_2
Point	1	0	0
Cube	1	0	1
Sphere	1	0	1
Torus	1	2	1



Simplicial complexes

Definition

A set family K is called an *abstract simplicial complex* if, for every set $\sigma \in$ K and each non-empty subset $\tau \subseteq \sigma$, we also have $\tau \in$ K.

Terminology

The elements of a simplicial complex K are called *simplices*. Notice that a k-simplex consists of $k+1$ vertices.

Visualisation

We will typically draw simplicial complexes like graphs, with the understanding that the positions of vertices are *arbitrary* unless some geometric component is involved.

Simplicial complexes

Example

Simplicial complexes can be decomposed into their skeletons, which only contain simplices of a certain dimension.



Simplicial complexes

Example

Simplicial complexes can be decomposed into their skeletons, which only contain simplices of a certain dimension.



Simplicial complexes

Example

Simplicial complexes can be decomposed into their skeletons, which only contain simplices of a certain dimension.



Simplicial complexes

Example

Simplicial complexes can be decomposed into their skeletons, which only contain simplices of a certain dimension.



HELMHOLTZ MUNICH

Simplicial complexes

Non-example

This is *not* a simplicial complex because some higher-dimensional simplices do not intersect in a lower-dimensional one!



Simplicial complexes

More examples

- Graphs can be considered (low-dimensional) simplicial complexes.

HELMHOLTZ MUNICH

Simplicial complexes

More examples

- Graphs can be considered (low-dimensional) simplicial complexes.
- Simplicial complexes can be obtained from point clouds (more about this later).

Simplicial complexes

More examples

- Graphs can be considered (low-dimensional) simplicial complexes.
- Simplicial complexes can be obtained from point clouds (more about this later).
- *Hypergraphs* can be converted to simplicial complexes.

To calculate something *on* simplicial complexes, we need some group theory.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.
2. The operation is *associative*, i.e. $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for $a, b, c \in G$.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.
2. The operation is *associative*, i.e. $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for $a, b, c \in G$.
3. There is an *identity element* $e \in G$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for $a \in G$.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.
2. The operation is *associative*, i.e. $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for $a, b, c \in G$.
3. There is an *identity element* $e \in G$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for $a \in G$.
4. Each $a \in G$ has an *inverse element* $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.
2. The operation is *associative*, i.e. $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for $a, b, c \in G$.
3. There is an *identity element* $e \in G$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for $a \in G$.
4. Each $a \in G$ has an *inverse element* $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

Groups

Definition

A *group* is a set G with a binary operation $\cdot$ that combines two elements to yield another one, such that $(G, \cdot)$ has the following properties:

1. The operation is *closed*, i.e. $a \cdot b \in G$ for $a, b \in G$.
2. The operation is *associative*, i.e. $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for $a, b, c \in G$.
3. There is an *identity element* $e \in G$ such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for $a \in G$.
4. Each $a \in G$ has an *inverse element* $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a$.

The operation $\cdot$ is not required to be commutative. In general, $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ is *not* required to hold. However, the groups that we will encounter are commutative!

Groups

Examples and non-examples

- The set with only two elements and addition modulo 2 is group, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.[1]

[1] It is actually also a *field*, the smallest non-trivial field.

Groups

Examples and non-examples

- The set with only two elements and addition modulo 2 is group, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.[1]
- The set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual addition is a group, denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

[1] It is actually also a *field*, the smallest non-trivial field.

Groups

Examples and non-examples

- The set with only two elements and addition modulo 2 is group, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.[1]
- The set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual addition is a group, denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.
- The set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued quadratic matrices with elementwise addition is a group.

[1] It is actually also a *field*, the smallest non-trivial field.

Groups

Examples and non-examples

- The set with only two elements and addition modulo 2 is group, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.[1]
- The set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual addition is a group, denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.
- The set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued quadratic matrices with elementwise addition is a group.
- The set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued quadratic matrices with non-zero determinant together with matrix multiplication is a group.

[1] It is actually also a *field*, the smallest non-trivial field.

Groups

Examples and non-examples

- The set with only two elements and addition modulo 2 is group, called $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_2$.[1]
- The set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual addition is a group, denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.
- The set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued quadratic matrices with elementwise addition is a group.
- The set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued quadratic matrices with non-zero determinant together with matrix multiplication is a group.
- The natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ with addition do *not* form a group (why?).

[1] It is actually also a *field*, the smallest non-trivial field.

Back to simplicial complexes…

Chain groups

Free abelian groups

Definition

Given a simplicial complex K, the p^{th} chain group C_p of K consists of all combinations of p-simplices in the complex. Coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, hence all elements of C_p are of the form $\sum_j \sigma_j$, for $\sigma_j \in$ K. The group operation is addition with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients.

Chain groups

Free abelian groups

Definition

Given a simplicial complex K, the p^{th} chain group C_p of K consists of all combinations of p-simplices in the complex. Coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, hence all elements of C_p are of the form $\sum_j \sigma_j$, for $\sigma_j \in$ K. The group operation is addition with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients.

$\mathbb{Z}_2$ is convenient for implementation reasons because *addition* can be implemented as *symmetric difference*. Other choices are possible!

Chain groups

Free abelian groups

Definition

Given a simplicial complex K, the p^{th} chain group C_p of K consists of all combinations of p-simplices in the complex. Coefficients are in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, hence all elements of C_p are of the form $\sum_j \sigma_j$, for $\sigma_j \in$ K. The group operation is addition with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients.

$\mathbb{Z}_2$ is convenient for implementation reasons because *addition* can be implemented as *symmetric difference*. Other choices are possible!

We need chain groups to algebraically express the concept of a *boundary*.

Simplicial chains



Let K $= \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$

Simplicial chains



Let K $= \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$

Simplicial chains



Let $K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$
- $\{b, c\}$

Simplicial chains



Let $\mathsf{K} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$
- $\{b, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{a, c\}$

Simplicial chains



Let K $= \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$
- $\{b, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{a, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{b, c\}$

Simplicial chains



Let $K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$
- $\{b, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{a, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{b, c\}$
- $\{a, c\} + \{b, c\}$

Simplicial chains



Let $\mathsf{K} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\}\}$. Some valid simplicial 1-chains of K are:

- $\{a, b\}$
- $\{a, c\}$
- $\{b, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{a, c\}$
- $\{a, b\} + \{b, c\}$
- $\{a, c\} + \{b, c\}$
- $\{b, c\} + \{a, c\} + \{a, b\}$

Group homomorphism

Definition

A function $f\colon A \to B$ between groups $(A, \cdot)$ and $(B, *)$ is called *homomorphism* if $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

Boundary homomorphism

Definition

Given a simplicial complex K, the p^{th} boundary homomorphism is a function that assigns each simplex $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_p\} \in$ K to its *boundary*:

$$\partial_p \sigma = \sum_i \{v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_p\}$$

In the equation above, $\widehat{v}_i$ indicates that the set does *not* contain the i^{th} vertex. The function $\partial_p \colon C_p \to C_{p-1}$ is thus a homomorphism between the chain groups.

Caveat

With other coefficients, the boundary homomorphism is slightly more complex, involving alternating signs for the different terms. Over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, signs can be ignored.

Boundary homomorphism

Example



Let K $= \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\}\}$. The boundary of the triangle is non-trivial:

$$\partial_2\{a,b,c\} = \{b,c\} + \{a,c\} + \{a,b\}$$

The boundary of its edges is trivial, though, because duplicate simplices cancel each other out:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1\left(\{b,c\} + \{a,c\} + \{a,b\}\right) &= \{c\} + \{b\} + \{c\} + \{a\} + \{b\} + \{a\} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Chain complex

For all p, we have $\partial_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = 0$: *Boundaries do not have a boundary themselves*. This leads to the *chain complex*:

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0$$

Kernel and image

Definition

The *kernel* of a homomorphism $f\colon A \to B$ is the set of all elements that are mapped to the zero element, i.e. $\ker f := \{a \in A \mid f(a) = 0\} \subseteq A$. The *image* of f is the set of all its outputs, i.e. $\operatorname{im} f := \{f(a) \mid a \in A\} \subseteq B$.

Cycle and boundary groups

$$\text{Cycle group } Z_p = \ker \partial_p$$
$$\text{Boundary group } B_p = \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1}$$

We have $B_p \subseteq Z_p$ in the group-theoretical sense. In other words, every boundary is also a cycle.

(The fact that these sets are groups is a consequence of some deep theorems in group theory! Unfortunately, we cannot cover all of these things here...)

Normal subgroup and quotient group

Normal subgroup

Let G be a group and N be a subgroup. N is a *normal subgroup* if $gng^{-1} \in N$ for all $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

For an abelian group, every subgroup is normal!

Definition

Let G be a group and N be a normal subgroup of G. Then the *quotient group* is defined as $G/N := \{gN \mid g \in G\}$, partitioning G into equivalence classes.

Quotient groups

Example

$2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by being a multiple of 2. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ consists of only 0 and 1.

Why quotient groups?

Quotient groups 'reduce' a group by partitioning it into equivalence classes that are defined by another subgroup.

Homology groups & Betti numbers

The p^{th} homology group H_p is a quotient group, defined by 'removing' cycles that are boundaries from a higher dimension:

$$H_p = Z_p / B_p = \ker \partial_p / \operatorname{im} \partial_{p+1},$$

With this definition, we may finally calculate the p^{th} Betti number:

$$\beta_p = \operatorname{rank} H_p$$

The rank is a generating set of the smallest cardinality. We will see how to calculate this easily!

Intuition

Calculate all boundaries, remove the boundaries that come from higher-dimensional objects, and count what is left.

Example

Simplicial complex



$$\mathsf{K} = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$$

Notice that K does not contain the 2-simplex $\{a, b, c\}$. Next, we will see how to calculate the boundary matrix of K and its homology groups!

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.

$$
M = \begin{array}{c c}
\begin{matrix} \quad a & \quad b & \quad c & \quad ab & \quad bc & \quad ac \end{matrix} & \\
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} & \begin{matrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{matrix}
\end{array}
$$

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.

$$M = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cccccc} a & b & c & ab & bc & ac \end{array} \\ & \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array} \end{array}$$

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.



$$
\begin{array}{cc}
& \begin{array}{cccccc} a & b & c & ab & bc & ac \end{array} \\
M = & \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.



$$
M = \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccc} a & b & c & ab & bc & ac \end{array} \\
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array}
$$

HELMHOLTZ MUNICH

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.



$$M = \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{cccccc} a & b & c & ab & bc & ac \end{array} & \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array} \end{array}$$

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.



$$
M = \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}
\begin{array}{cccccc}
a & b & c & ab & bc & ac
\end{array}
$$

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{l} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array}
$$

Example

Boundary matrix calculation

Using simplices as a basis of the chain groups, we can represent all boundary relations in a single matrix, the *boundary matrix*.



$$
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccc} a & b & c & ab & bc & ac \end{array} \\
M = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{l} a \\ b \\ c \\ ab \\ bc \\ ac \end{array}
\end{array}
$$

HELMHOLTZ MUNICH

Example

Dimension 0

To compute H_0, we need to calculate $Z_0 = \ker \partial_0$ and $B_0 = \operatorname{im} \partial_1$.

Calculating Z_0

We have $Z_0 = \ker \partial_0 = \operatorname{span}(\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\})$, because each one of these simplices is mapped to zero. Since we cannot express any one of these simplices as a linear combination of the others, we have $Z_0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$.

Calculating B_0

We have $B_0 = \operatorname{im} \partial_1 = \operatorname{span}(\{a\} + \{b\}, \{b\} + \{c\}, \{a\} + \{c\})$. However, since $\{a\} + \{b\} + \{b\} + \{c\} = \{a\} + \{c\}$, there are only *two* independent elements, i.e. $\operatorname{im} \partial_1 = \operatorname{span}(\{a\} + \{b\}, \{b\} + \{c\})$. Hence, $B_0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Example

Dimension 0, continued

- By definition, $H_0 = Z_0/B_0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
- Hence, $\beta_0 = \text{rank}\, H_0 = 1$.

Intuition

Our calculation tells us that the simplicial complex has a *single* connected component!

Example

Dimension 1

To compute H_1, we need to calculate $Z_1 = \ker \partial_1$ and $B_1 = \operatorname{im} \partial_2$.

Calculating Z_1

We have $Z_1 = \ker \partial_1 = \operatorname{span}(\{a, b\} + \{b, c\} + \{a, c\})$. This is the *only* cycle in K; we can verify this by inspection or pure combinatorics. Hence, $Z_1 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Calculating B_1

There are *no* 2-simplices in K, so $B_1 = \operatorname{im} \partial_2 = \{0\}$.

Example

Dimension 1, continued

- By definition, $H_1 = Z_1/B_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})/\{0\} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
- Hence, $\beta_1 = \text{rank}\, H_1 = 1$.

Intuition

Our calculation tells us that the simplicial complex has a *single* cycle!

This is one of the few situations in which a 'division by zero' is well-defined! By the definition of the quotient group, this means we are *not* removing any elements from the group.

Homology calculations in practice

Smith normal form

Let M be an $n \times m$ matrix with at least one non-zero entry over some field $\mathbb{F}$. There are invertible matrices S and T such that the matrix product SMT has the form

$$SMT = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & b_k & & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \cdots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where all the entries b_i satisfy $b_i \geq 1$ and divide each other, i.e. $b_i \mid b_{i+1}$. All b_i are unique up to multiplication by a unit.

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.
2. Bring each matrix into Smith normal form (similar to Gaussian elimination).

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.
2. Bring each matrix into Smith normal form (similar to Gaussian elimination).
3. Read off description of p^{th} homology group.

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.
2. Bring each matrix into Smith normal form (similar to Gaussian elimination).
3. Read off description of p^{th} homology group.

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.
2. Bring each matrix into Smith normal form (similar to Gaussian elimination).
3. Read off description of p^{th} homology group.

We have:

- rank Z_p is the number of zero columns of the boundary matrix of ∂_p.

Homology calculations in practice

1. Calculate boundary operator matrices.
2. Bring each matrix into Smith normal form (similar to Gaussian elimination).
3. Read off description of p^{th} homology group.

We have:

- rank Z_p is the number of zero columns of the boundary matrix of ∂_p.
- rank B_p is the number of non-zero rows of the boundary matrix of ∂_{p+1}.

Summary

- Homology groups characterise topological objects.

Summary

- Homology groups characterise topological objects.
- They can be easily expressed as linear operators.

Summary

- Homology groups characterise topological objects.
- They can be easily expressed as linear operators.
- The calculation of homology groups boils down to linear algebra.